

Inclusive fitness consequences of dispersal decisions in a cooperatively breeding bird, the long-tailed tit (*Aegithalos caudatus*)

Jonathan P. Green^{a,b,1} and Ben J. Hatchwell^a

^aDepartment of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, S10 2TN Sheffield, United Kingdom; and ^bDepartment of Zoology, University of Oxford, OX1 3PS Oxford, United Kingdom

Edited by Joan E. Strassmann, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, and approved October 10, 2018 (received for review September 18, 2018)

Natal dispersal is a demographic trait with profound evolutionary, ecological, and behavioral consequences. However, our understanding of the adaptive value of dispersal patterns is severely hampered by the difficulty of measuring the relative fitness consequences of alternative dispersal strategies in natural populations. This is especially true in social species, in which natal philopatry allows kin selection to operate, so direct and indirect components of inclusive fitness have to be considered when evaluating selection on dispersal. Here, we use lifetime reproductive success data from a long-term study of a cooperative breeder, the long-tailed tit Aegithalos caudatus, to quantify the direct and indirect components of inclusive fitness. We show that dispersal has a negative effect on the accrual of indirect fitness, and hence inclusive fitness, by males. In contrast, the inclusive, predominantly direct, fitness of females increases with dispersal distance. We conclude that the conflicting fitness consequences of dispersal in this species result in sexually antagonistic selection on this key demographic parameter.

dispersal | inclusive fitness | cooperative breeding | long-tailed tit

Dispersal is of fundamental importance in behavioral and evolutionary ecology because it influences key processes such as local adaptation, gene flow, and inbreeding (1-3). Dispersal is a particularly important process in social evolution theory because major evolutionary transitions in the history of life on Earth are facilitated by social interaction among relatives, allowing kin selection to operate (4, 5). This reasoning underpins theoretical and empirical explanations for the evolution of sociality in animals (6) and especially cooperative breeding in vertebrates (7-10). Empirical studies of dispersal in social species have generally focused on the environmental and social factors that constrain or promote dispersal from natal groups (11). However, insights into the function of dispersal require knowledge of the fitness consequences of alternative dispersal strategies, and empirical study of such fitness effects has proven to be challenging. This is partly because of inherent difficulties in the study of dispersal in open systems (12, 13), but more significantly because collection of empirical data to quantify inclusive fitness and relate it to specific dispersal strategies is extremely difficult for several reasons. Social species often have complex life histories, and are often long-lived (11), making the accumulation of data on lifetime reproductive success (LRS) of many individuals impossible. Most crucially, to understand individual dispersal strategies, inclusive fitness must be partitioned into its direct and indirect components that can be directly related to specific dispersal decisions.

In this paper, we investigate the effect of natal dispersal decisions on the accrual of the direct and indirect components of inclusive fitness in a cooperatively breeding bird, the long-tailed tit *Aegithalos caudatus*. First, we quantify and describe the direct and indirect components of inclusive fitness by using LRS data for a large sample of individuals derived from a long-term study (14). For each sex, we then determine the effect of categorical natal dispersal status on the accrual of direct and indirect fitness by comparing philopatric residents (hereafter "residents") and immigrants. Third, by using data from resident birds only, we determine the effect of natal dispersal distance within the study site on the acquisition of direct and indirect fitness. These analyses allow us to test the hypothesis that sex-specific social interactions and their fitness consequences drive the divergent dispersal strategies of males and females.

Long-tailed tits have a relatively simple cooperative breeding system in which all helpers are failed breeders that redirect their care to assist other pairs raise their offspring by feeding nestlings and fledglings (14). Helping is costly, but these costs are outweighed by the indirect fitness benefits of helping to increase productivity of related broods and reduced reproductive costs of related male breeders (15). No significant direct benefits of helping have been detected (16). Long-tailed tits have a short lifespan compared with other cooperative breeders; all birds attempt to breed from their first year onward, and there is no discernible age effect on breeding or helping behavior. This contrasts with most other cooperative species, in which long lives and agerelated patterns of breeding and helping greatly complicate estimation of fitness (17). Therefore, rather than parametrizing demographic models (18-21) or using fitness components (22, 23) to understand dispersal decisions, we were able to use LRS data to calculate the direct and indirect fractions of the inclusive fitness on an individual basis. Moreover, long-tailed tits have an atypical social structure in which helping is preferentially directed toward kin living within kin neighborhoods (24) that form via limited

Significance

How far individuals disperse from their birth site has profound consequences for the genetic structure of populations and for individual fitness, affecting the degree of gene flow between populations and the extent to which relatives and nonrelatives interact socially. Spatial clustering of kin arising from limited dispersal facilitates kin-selected cooperation and is considered an important step in the evolution of cooperative breeding. However, determination of the fitness consequences of dispersal in wild populations has proved extremely challenging. Here, we use data from a long-term study of long-tailed tits to quantify the fitness payoffs of dispersal. We show that females' reproductive success increases with dispersal distance and that, for males, cooperation with kin generates fitness benefits that favor limited natal dispersal.

Author contributions: B.J.H. designed research; J.P.G. performed research; J.P.G. analyzed data; and J.P.G. and B.J.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the PNAS license

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: jonathan.green@zoo.ox.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1815873115/-/DCSupplemental.

Published online November 5, 2018.

natal dispersal (25, 26), coordinated dispersal of kin (27), and a small effective population size caused by depredation of broods (28). This social organization differs from the stable territorial structure that typifies most other cooperative breeders, so, in addition to a categorical comparison of the fitness of philopatric and immigrant birds (18, 20, 21, 23), we could also investigate the effect of fine-scale dispersal distance on individual fitness.

We studied a population of long-tailed tits from 1994 to 2016 in the Rivelin Valley, Sheffield, UK (52°23'N, 1°34'W) (14). Standard protocols were followed in each year of the study to monitor survival of adults, breeding attempts, and helping behavior. Birds were marked with unique combinations of color bands as adults when they first dispersed into the population or as nestlings; >95% of adults were individually marked each year. Resighting probabilities for both sexes were high [83% for females and 92% for males based on capture-mark-recapture analysis of 985 individuals between 1994 and 2012 (29)], and our sample included only those individuals for whom we had complete life histories. Each individual was blood-sampled and genotyped at 19 microsatellite loci. Genotype data were used to assign parentage for ringed offspring, with extrapair offspring assigned to their genetic father. Fitness metrics were calculated from individual life histories by using the number of recruits (i.e., offspring entering the adult population as breeders in the year after fledging) as the currency of fitness based on previously described methods (30) that follow Hamilton's (4) definition of inclusive fitness. Briefly, direct fitness for individual breeders was obtained by first subtracting the fraction of recruits attributable to helpers (calculated by using average population-level effects of helpers on productivity). The remaining fraction was then halved to reflect investment in recruit production by the breeding partners and then halved again to reflect an average parent-offspring relatedness of 0.5. Where extrapair recruits were identified, the relevant fraction of direct fitness was stripped from social fathers and reassigned to genetic fathers. Indirect fitness for individual helpers was obtained by multiplying the fraction of recruits attributable to an individual helper (adjusted for the number of helpers at a nest) by its average relatedness to those recruits, estimated from the genotype data. Full details are provided in Methods.

Results

Inclusive fitness was estimated from the complete reproductive histories of 778 individuals that reached adulthood and recruited into the population as breeders (393 males, 385 females). Of these birds, 37% produced at least one fledgling from their own nest in their life (37% of males, 36% of females) and 20% recruited at least one 1-y-old offspring (19% of males, 21% of females) into the study population. The LRS of adults was strongly skewed in terms of the production of fledglings and recruits (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1).

Fitness of Residents vs. Immigrants. In our sample of birds with quantified fitness, more males (46%; n = 393) were philopatric residents than females (20%; n = 385; $\chi^2_1 = 61.97$, P < 0.0001), consistent with female-biased dispersal in long-tailed tits (25). Overall, residents were more likely to achieve indirect fitness than immigrants ($\chi^2_1 = 14.70$, P = 0.0001; *SI Appendix*, Table S1). Independently of dispersal status, males were more likely to achieve indirect fitness than females (14.5% vs. 2.3%, respectively; $\chi^2_1 = 27.24$, P < 0.0001; *SI Appendix*, Table S1), a difference that arises not because males are more effective helpers, but simply because they are much more likely to help than females (31, 32). Despite this sex difference in the likelihood of achieving indirect fitness as residents than as immigrants (sex × dispersal, $\chi^2_1 = 0.03$, P = 0.87).

In contrast, the probability of gaining direct fitness was not influenced by dispersal status ($\chi^{2}_{1} = 0.34$, P = 0.56), sex ($\chi^{2}_{1} =$ 0.37, P = 0.54), or their interaction ($\chi^{2}_{1} = 0.27$, P = 0.60; *SI Appendix*, Table S1). Likewise, there was no significant difference in the likelihood of accruing inclusive fitness between immigrants and residents ($\chi^{2}_{1} = 1.83$, P = 0.18; dispersal status × sex, $\chi^{2}_{1} = 1.81$, P = 0.18; *SI Appendix*, Table S1). There was, however, a tendency for a higher proportion of males (30.3%) to achieve some inclusive fitness compared with females (22.3%; $\chi^{2}_{1} = 3.79$, P = 0.05; *SI Appendix*, Table S1), a consequence of the fact that males were more likely than females to achieve some indirect fitness (as described earlier).

Similar results were obtained when analyzing the amount of fitness gained with respect to dispersal status and sex (Fig. 1). For indirect fitness, males gained more than females ($\chi^2_1 = 24.92$, P < 0.0001) and residents gained more than immigrants ($\chi^2_1 = 17.01$, P < 0.0001), but there was no interaction between sex and dispersal status ($\chi^2_1 = 2.01, P = 0.16$; Fig. 1A). The amount of direct fitness gained was not affected by dispersal status ($\chi^2_1 = 0.77, P =$ 0.38), sex ($\chi^2_1 = 0.70$, P = 0.40), or their interaction ($\chi^2_1 = 0.28$, P = 0.60; Fig. 1B). The amount of inclusive fitness gained did not differ significantly between immigrants and residents ($\chi^2_1 = 0.07$, P = 0.79; dispersal status × sex, $\chi^2_1 = 0.63$, P = 0.43; Fig. 1C), reflecting the fact that, even though the amount of fitness gained indirectly through helping was higher for residents, this component of fitness constitutes a relatively small proportion of inclusive fitness (Fig. 1). For the same reason, although males gained more indirect fitness than females, the relatively small contribution of indirect fitness to inclusive fitness (13.4% for males vs. 1.5% for females) and the absence of sex differences in direct fitness resulted in similar inclusive fitness gains for males and females $(\chi^2_1 = 0.08, P = 0.77;$ Fig. 1C). The same results were found when each sex was analyzed separately (SI Appendix, Table S2).

Among those birds that achieved nonzero inclusive fitness, just 12 males (10.1%) and even fewer females (three birds; 3.5%)

Fig. 1. Mean \pm SE (A) indirect fitness, (B) direct fitness, and (C) inclusive fitness accrued by female (dark gray) and male (light gray) long-tailed tits in relation to whether they were immigrants (IMM; 308 females and 211 males) or philopatric residents (RES; 77 females and 182 males) in the study population.



achieved both direct and indirect fitness (*SI Appendix*, Table S1). For males, the observed number of cases was significantly lower than that expected if the probability of gaining fitness directly and indirectly were independent ($\chi^2_1 = 99.16$, P < 0.0001), indicating that they tend to be mutually exclusive activities (too few females gained indirect fitness for an equivalent analysis). This makes intuitive sense because an individual long-tailed tit cannot gain both direct and indirect fitness (i.e., breed successfully and help) within the same season because helping is contingent on failed breeding, and the lifespan of long-tailed tits is short [annual adult survival rate is 0.55 (33)], with the result that approximately half of all birds experience only a single breeding season.

Fitness and Dispersal Distance of Residents. For 235 resident birds (75 females and 160 males), we were able to relate accrual of fitness to natal dispersal distance within the study site. For males, increasing dispersal distance was associated with decreases in the probability of accruing indirect fitness (Fig. 2A) and the amount of indirect fitness accrued (Fig. 2B); too few females achieved indirect fitness to allow an equivalent analysis. In contrast, the likelihood of accruing direct fitness was not influenced by dispersal distance ($\chi^2_1 = 0.20, P = 0.65$), sex ($\chi^2_1 = 0.35, P = 0.56$), or their interaction ($\chi^2_1 = 0.89$, P = 0.35), and the same results were obtained when analyzing the sexes separately (Fig. 2 C and E). However, the amount of direct fitness gained was influenced by an interaction between sex and dispersal ($\chi^2_1 = 4.58$, P = 0.03). When the sexes were analyzed separately, females dispersing further from their natal nest gained more direct fitness, but this was not the case for males (Fig. 2 D and F).

The combined effects of sex and dispersal had a significant influence on the likelihood of accruing inclusive fitness via an interaction ($\chi^2_1 = 4.62$, P = 0.03). The effect of dispersal was not significant when the sexes were analyzed separately, but contrasting trends were observed for males and females. For males, greater dispersal tended to be associated with a reduced probability of accruing inclusive fitness (Fig. 2G), driven by the decrease in probability of accruing indirect fitness with dispersal distance (Fig. 24). For females, however, the probability of gaining inclusive fitness tended to increase weakly with dispersal distance (Fig. 2*I*).

A significant interaction between sex and dispersal distance was also observed when analyzing the amount of inclusive fitness gained by residents ($\chi^2_1 = 6.38$, P = 0.01). The sexes were therefore analyzed separately, with the regression slope in each case providing an estimate of the strength of linear (i.e., directional) selection on natal dispersal distance (Methods). For females, the analysis revealed positive directional selection on dispersal distance (Fig. 2J) driven by the increase in the amount of direct fitness with dispersal distance (Fig. 2F). By contrast, for males, the selection gradient, although negative, was nonsignificant (Fig. 2H). This again reflects the fact that indirect fitness constitutes only a small proportion of inclusive fitness (as detailed earlier), resulting in a relatively small decrease in the magnitude of inclusive fitness gained as dispersal distance increased. There was no evidence for significant nonlinear selection on natal dispersal distance for either sex (Methods).

Causes of Differential Direct and Indirect Fitness. Finally, we explore the reasons for the effects of dispersal and sex on fitness metrics. Indirect fitness was accrued by males but rarely by females, reflecting the fact that helping in long-tailed tits is male-biased [85% of helpers are male (31)]. The other clear pattern in acquisition of indirect fitness related to natal dispersal is that males that dispersed further within our study site accrued less indirect fitness, as did immigrants relative to residents. A lower probability of helping as relatedness decreases has been reported in other cooperatively breeding birds [e.g., Galapagos mockingbirds *Nesomimus parvulus* (34) and white-fronted bee-eaters *Merops bullockoides* (35)], so these effects could result from males being



Fig. 2. Relationships between measures of fitness and natal dispersal distances for 75 female and 160 male long-tailed tits that recruited within our study site: (A) probability of gaining indirect fitness for males ($\beta \pm SE =$ -0.61 ± 0.25 ; $\chi^2_1 = 6.73$, P = 0.009), (*B*) amount of indirect fitness for males (-0.97 ± 0.27 ; $\chi^2_1 = 14.60$, P = 0.0001), (*C*) probability of gaining direct fitness for males (-0.02 ± 0.20 ; $\chi^2_1 = 0.01$, P = 0.91), (D) amount of direct fitness for males (–0.15 \pm 0.20; χ^2_1 = 0.57, P = 0.45), (E) probability of gaining direct fitness for females (0.32 \pm 0.31; χ^2_1 = 1.06, *P* = 0.30), (*F*) amount of direct fitness for females (0.58 \pm 0.27; χ^2_1 = 4.47, *P* = 0.03), (G) probability of gaining inclusive fitness for males (-0.32 \pm 0.19; χ^2_1 = 2.94, P = 0.09), (H) amount of inclusive fitness for males (-0.25 \pm 0.17; χ^2_1 = 2.28, P = 0.13), (I) probability of gaining inclusive fitness for females (0.43 \pm 0.29; χ^2_1 = 2.20, P = 0.14), and (J) amount of inclusive fitness for females (0.53 \pm 0.27; $\chi^2_1 =$ 3.83, P = 0.05). In A, C, E, G, and I, boxplots show dispersal distances for birds that do and do not gain fitness (central lines represent median values, outer lines of the box represent the first and third quartiles, and horizontal lines represent approximately 2 SD around the interquartile range; circles denote outliers). In B, D, F, H, and J, dots show the raw data. In all cases, lines are predictions from GLMMs of fitness in relation to distance averaged across the cohort (Methods and SI Appendix, section 3).

less likely to help following nest failure the further they dispersed. Alternatively, given that the magnitude of indirect fitness gained by a helper depends on their relatedness to the recipient, the probability of helping may remain the same, but the relatedness between helper and recipient could decrease with dispersal distance and be lower for immigrants than for residents. Our results offer some support for both explanations: the probability of helping following nest failure was lower for immigrant males than for resident males (32% vs. 43%; $\chi^2_1 = 3.91$, P = 0.05), and the dyadic relatedness of helpers to recipients was lower for immigrants (mean \pm SE = 0.10 \pm 0.03) than for residents (0.26 \pm 0.03; $L_1 = 12.86$, P = 0.0003). Among residents, the relatedness of helpers to recipients declined with natal dispersal distance ($L_1 =$ 8.16, P = 0.004; Fig. 3), but there was no change in the probability of helping with dispersal distance ($\chi^2_1 = 0.45$, P = 0.50).

For direct fitness, differences in relation to sex or dispersal were less marked. Among males, no direct fitness benefit or cost of dispersal was detected, whereas, among females, direct fitness, and hence inclusive fitness, increased with dispersal distance within the study site. Increased dispersal from the natal nest was not associated with the production of larger clutches ($L_1 = 1.27$, P = 0.26) or of more fledglings ($L_1 = 1.20$, P = 0.27). However, the proportion of fledglings that successfully recruited was higher for females dispersing greater distances ($\chi^2_1 = 16.57$, P < 0.0001; Fig. 4). Why this might be is unclear. One possibility is that dispersal and reproductive success are dependent on quality, such that higher-quality females are able to disperse further and succeed in producing more recruits. Alternatively, reproductive success may itself increase with dispersal distance if, for example, the risk of inbreeding declines with distance from the natal nest, a possibility we are currently exploring.

Discussion

Overall, our results indicate contrasting selection on the dispersal behavior of males and females in a cooperatively breeding bird. Females achieve greater inclusive fitness, via increased direct fitness, the further they dispersed within the study site, a finding that is contrary to the general pattern of negative selection on dispersal observed across multiple species (e.g., refs. 36–38). There are two important points about this relationship. First, Doligez and Pärt (13) pointed out that, if dispersal behavior is heritable, dispersers would have apparently low fitness because their offspring will also tend to disperse, which, if undetected, would generate a false negative relationship between fitness and dispersal. We have not yet investigated heritability of dispersal in long-tailed tits, but the





Fig. 3. Relationship between the mean relatedness of male helpers to the brood they cared for and their natal dispersal distance (n = 33). Points are raw data. Best-fit line and 95% CIs are obtained from an LMM of relatedness in relation to distance with male ID as a random factor (*Methods* and *SI Appendix*, section 3).



Natal dispersal distance (m)

Fig. 4. Relationship between the proportion of a female's fledglings that recruited into the study population and her natal dispersal distance (n = 25). Dots are raw data. Best-fit line and 95% CIs are obtained from a GLMM of recruitment success in relation to dispersal distance. Details of the model and full results are provided in *SI Appendix*, section 3 and Table S3.

fact that dispersal is associated with higher fitness indicates that there is no such confound in this case. Our observation that the proportion of fledglings that recruited increased with natal dispersal distance (Fig. 4) further supports this argument. Second, the positive effect of dispersal on fitness was evident among shortdistance dispersers, i.e., for philopatric females (Fig. 2 E, F, I, and J), but the difference in fitness between the categories of resident and immigrant females (Fig. 1) was not significant, even though the trend was clearly in the same direction. Most previous studies have used only the latter approach to investigate the fitness consequences, and we suggest that more fine-grained analyses of dispersal consequences would be worthwhile.

In contrast, males tended to increase their probability of gaining inclusive fitness by limiting dispersal, allowing them to augment their inclusive fitness with the indirect fitness gained by helping relatives to raise their offspring. Male long-tailed tits are much more likely to help following the failure of their own breeding attempt than females, and, as a consequence, gain considerably greater indirect fitness. This begs the question of why females do not help more often. One explanation is that females rarely have kin available to help as a result of kin-biased natal dispersal; alternatively, females may be inherently less likely to help than males even when the opportunity to help arises. Evidence supports the latter argument because our study population is kin-structured for females as well as males, albeit less strongly (26). Moreover, in another isolated study population, female dispersal was constrained by a lack of available breeding sites in the surrounding habitat, so they exhibited a similar degree of philopatry as males; nevertheless, the incidence of helping by females was not significantly higher than that in the Rivelin Valley population (32). Why, then, might females spurn opportunities to accrue indirect fitness by helping? A likely explanation is that helping is costly (15) and the decision by failed breeders to help or not appears to depend on condition inter alia (16). During early phases of the reproductive cycle, females invest substantially more than males. Mean clutch size is 10 and each egg weighs approximately ca.1 g, so females [mean mass = 7.7g (39)] must produce approximately ca.130% of their own body mass in eggs during the 10-d laying period; when complete, the clutch is incubated by the female alone for 14-15 d, which is also likely to be costly (40). In contrast, males undertake a major parenting role only when nestlings hatch. Therefore, females are probably in poor condition relative to males when nest failure occurs, and hence are less likely to help. Such conditional helping has been reported in other cooperatively breeding vertebrates (41-43).

Our findings have general implications for our understanding of the evolution of helping in birds and other taxa with kin-based cooperative groups. First, they imply that indirect fitness benefits alone can select for limited dispersal, i.e., there is no need to invoke direct fitness benefits of philopatry as a pathway toward or facilitator of social interaction with kin and subsequent helping behavior (8, 10, 44). This conclusion is consistent with previous studies of long-tailed tits that suggest no benefit of social interaction with kin except in the context of helping (16, 45). In noncooperative bird species, philopatry has often been reported to have direct fitness advantages for males (36–38). The absence of such an effect in this case may result from the fact that long-tailed tits are not territorial, so there is no advantage of being able to claim a territory early by being philopatric.

The second general implication concerns the bet-hedging hypothesis, which argues that cooperative breeding evolved as a risk-averse strategy to reduce variance in fecundity (46). Our finding that indirect fitness is gained primarily by males that did not achieve direct fitness, thereby increasing the proportion of individuals achieving nonzero inclusive fitness, appears to support this hypothesis. However, the bet-hedging argument requires that variance in fitness is traded off against mean fitness, and here we found that the indirect fitness gained by males appears to augment rather than trade off against direct fitness. Therefore, support for the bet-hedging hypothesis is currently equivocal and needs more detailed analysis of how direct and indirect fitness is acquired at the level of individual males.

In conclusion, by using estimates of inclusive fitness partitioned into its direct and indirect components, we have shown that selection on the dispersal strategies of a cooperatively breeding bird, the long-tailed tit, is sexually antagonistic. The different fitness consequences of dispersal for each sex arise from females increasing their direct fitness via dispersal, the mechanism for which is as yet unknown, whereas males accrue kin-selected indirect fitness benefits by helping relatives, which also has the effect of increasing the proportion of males achieving nonzero inclusive fitness. The consequence is that there is positive selection for dispersal by females, whereas there tends to be negative selection on dispersal by males, driven in part by sex differences in social interactions. Thus, the potential for accruing fitness through both direct and indirect routes can have profound consequences for dispersal decisions and ultimately the structuring of populations.

Methods

Study Population. A population of 25-72 pairs of long-tailed tits was studied between 1994 and 2016. The 2.5-km² study site in the Rivelin Valley comprises deciduous woodland, farmland, scrub, and gardens and is mostly surrounded by low-quality habitat. Each year, all nestlings were ringed with a unique color-ring, and a blood sample was taken under Home Office License (PPL 7007834) for genetic analysis. In addition, we also succeeded in color-ringing and blood-sampling >95% of all unringed adults in the population during nest-building or when they appeared as helpers at established nests. These adults were assumed to be immigrants born outside of the study site and to be 1 y of age based on the observation that individuals tend to move relatively little following their first breeding season; specifically, very few individuals are missed (and therefore presumed to breed outside of the study site) in one breeding season only to reappear in the study site in a subsequent year (47). Individuals from whom blood samples were obtained were genotyped at 19 microsatellite loci (details of the microsatellites used are provided in ref. 48). All field methods were approved by the University of Sheffield Ethical Review Committee: further details of the field methods are provided in ref. 14.

We quantified lifetime fitness for a total of 778 birds (385 females and 393 males) that hatched between 1994 and 2014 and had died by 2016 and for whom we had precise information on offspring production in each year of life. This included a small number of birds whose breeding attempts in a particular year went undetected but who were later observed helping at other nests. Long-tailed tits in our population help only if their own breeding attempts fail, meaning that we could safely assume that individuals first observed as helpers had failed to fledge offspring in that year. Birds ringed as adults in the first year of the study (1994) were not included because we did not have information on breeding or helping in previous years.

Fitness Calculations.

Indirect fitness. To quantify indirect and direct fitness and combine these to obtain a measure of inclusive fitness, both fitness components were calculated as genetic offspring equivalents. Indirect fitness is the fitness that individuals accrue through helping relatives to reproduce. In this study, we calculated indirect fitness as the fraction of recruits from a brood that result from the brood being helped, multiplied by the average relatedness (r) between the helper and the recruits. The average effect of a given number of helpers on the probability of offspring recruitment was estimated by using mixed-effects modeling (SI Appendix, section 1). For this and all subsequent analyses, we used R version 3.2.3 in RStudio version 1.0.136 (49). From this model, we estimated the average fraction of a recruit that is attributable to a helper for a given number of helpers at a nest. For each helping event, we then calculated the indirect fitness accrued through helping by multiplying this fraction by the relatedness between the helper and any offspring that successfully recruited (where multiple offspring recruited, the average relatedness between these and the helper was calculated). Pairwise relatedness between helpers and recruits was estimated from the genotype data by using the method of Queller and Goodnight (50) in KINGROUP version 2 (51). Helping events that did not lead to the production of recruits did not generate indirect fitness for the helper. Helpers also received no indirect fitness where their relatedness to recruits was ≤ 0 . Direct fitness. Direct fitness is the fitness an individual accrues through the production of offspring, stripped of the effect of social partners (4). In this study, direct fitness measures were derived from the total number of recruits produced over an individual's lifetime and calculated in terms of genetic offspring equivalents. To do this, we first subtracted the fraction of recruits that was attributable to any helpers (as detailed earlier). The remaining fraction was then halved to account for the contribution of the other breeding partner to recruit production. The resulting fraction was then halved a further time to reflect the average relatedness of 0.5 between parents and offspring.

Long-tailed tits are promiscuous, with estimates for our population indicating that 11% of offspring in 30% of nests are the product of extrapair matings (*SI Appendix*, Table S5; note that this is somewhat higher than reported previously in ref. 52). We therefore checked paternity for all males in our data set by using the likelihood-based approach implemented in CERVUS version 3.0.7 (53, 54). Full details of the parentage assignment procedure are provided in *SI Appendix*, section 2. Where extrapair recruits were identified, the fraction of direct fitness associated with their production was stripped from the social father and reassigned to the genetic father (where known). In a few cases (n = 5), extrapair recruits were the genetic offspring of a male helper at their nest. In these cases, the direct fitness obtained by the helper was calculated as the number of extrapair recruits multiplied by the fraction of recruit production attributable to helpers (as detailed earlier) and then halved to reflect average parent–offspring relatedness of 0.5.

Analysis of Fitness in Relation to Dispersal. The relationship between fitness (i.e., probability of accruing fitness or amount of fitness accrued) and philopatric status (i.e., immigrant vs. resident) or dispersal distance among residents was analyzed by using mixed-effects models (full details are provided in SI Appendix, section 3). We first analyzed data from males and females together, fitting philopatric status/dispersal distance, sex, and the interaction between philopatric status/dispersal distance and sex as fixed effects in the full model. We also split the data by sex to determine the effect of philopatric status/dispersal distance on the fitness accrued by males and females separately. The analyses comparing the fitness of immigrants and residents could be influenced by assortative pairing according to dispersal status, but we found no evidence for such an effect (χ^2_3 = 4.42, P = 0.22). From the analysis of the magnitude of inclusive fitness gains in relation to natal dispersal distance, we were able to quantify selection on natal dispersal for males and females. We initially included a quadratic term to test for nonlinear (γ) selection, but this was not significant for either sex (males, $\gamma \pm$ SE = 0.15 \pm 0.44, χ^2_1 = 0.51, P = 0.48; females, 1.27 \pm 1.87, $\chi^2_1 = 1.06$, P = 0.30; values calculated according to ref. 55). Omitting the quadratic term from the model, univariate linear (β) selection gradients were estimated as the slope of the regression of dispersal distance on fitness (55).

A further set of analyses was performed to determine the factors driving the observed relationships between fitness gains and dispersal. For males, indirect fitness was lower for immigrants than for residents and, among residents, decreased with increasing natal dispersal distance. We considered two possible explanations for these patterns: (*i*) that the probability of helping, and thus gaining indirect fitness, declines with dispersal; and (*ii*) that the average relatedness of males to broods to whom they provide alloparental care, which determines the amount of indirect fitness gained, decreases with dispersal (note that these scenarios are not mutually exclusive). To investigate the first possible explanation, we asked whether the probability of helping following

brood loss differed between immigrants and residents (n = 179 and n = 154) and whether, among residents, the probability of helping following brood loss varied with natal dispersal distance (n = 132) by using generalized linear models (GLMs) with a binomial error structure. Males were scored as having helped if they helped in at least 1 y of their lives, even if they suffered brood loss but did not help in another year. To control for the increased likelihood that older males would have helped in at least 1 y, lifespan was fitted as an additional fixed effect in both models. To investigate the second explanation, we used mixed-effects models (*SI Appendix*, section 3) to test whether the mean relatedness of male helpers to recruits from the broods they helped differed between immigrants and residents (n = 37 and n = 44, respectively) and whether, among residents, relatedness of helpers to recruits varied with a helper's natal dispersal distance (n = 33).

Among resident females, our results revealed a significant positive association between natal dispersal distance and direct fitness. To investigate this further, we focused on broods that fledged successfully and tested for

- 1. Greenwood PJ (1980) Mating systems, philopatry and dispersal in birds and mammals. Anim Behav 28:1140–1162.
- Johnson ML, Gaines MS (1990) Evolution of dispersal: Theoretical models and empirical tests using birds and mammals. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 21:449–480.
- Clobert J, Danchin E, Dhondt AA, Nichols JD (2001) *Dispersal* (Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, UK).
- 4. Hamilton WD (1964) The genetical evolution of social behaviour. I. J Theor Biol 7:1-16.
- 5. Bourke AFG (2011) The Principles of Social Evolution (Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, UK).
- Rubenstein DR, Abbott P (2017) Comparative Social Evolution (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- Emlen ST (1982) The evolution of helping. I. An ecological constraints model. Am Nat 119:29–39.
- Stacey PB, Ligon JD (1991) The benefits of philopatry hypothesis for the evolution of cooperative breeding: Variation in territory quality and group-size effects. Am Nat 137:831–846.
- Koenig WD, Pitelka FA, Carmen WJ, Mumme RL, Stanback MT (1992) The evolution of delayed dispersal in cooperative breeders. Q Rev Biol 67:111–150.
- Ekman J, Dickinson JL, Hatchwell BJ, Griesser M (2004) Delayed dispersal. Cooperative Breeding in Birds, eds Koenig WD, Dickinson JL (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK). pp 35–47.
- Koenig WD, Dickinson JL (2016) Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- Koenig WD, Van Vuren D, Hooge PN (1996) Detectability, philopatry, and the distribution of dispersal distances in vertebrates. *Trends Ecol Evol* 11:514–517.
- Doligez B, Pärt T (2008) Estimating fitness consequences of dispersal: A road to 'knowwhere'? Non-random dispersal and the underestimation of dispersers' fitness. J Anim Ecol 77:1199–1211.
- Hatchwell BJ (2016) Long-tailed tits: Ecological causes and fitness consequences of redirected helping. Cooperative Breeding in Birds, eds Koenig WD, Dickinson JL (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK), pp 39–57.
- Hatchwell BJ, Gullett PR, Adams MJ (2014) Helping in cooperatively breeding longtailed tits: A test of Hamilton's rule. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 369:20130565.
 Meade J, Hatchwell BJ (2010) No direct fitness benefits of helping in a cooperative
- Meade J, Hatchwell BJ (2010) No direct fitness benefits of helping in a cooperative breeder despite higher survival of helpers. *Behav Ecol* 21:1186–1194.
 McGraw JB, Caswell H (1996) Estimation of individual fitness from life-history data.
- McGraw JB, Caswell H (1996) Estimation of individual fitness from life-history data. Am Nat 147:47–64.
- Woolfenden GE, Fitzpatrick JW (1984) The Florida Scrub Jay: Demography of a Cooperative-Breeding Bird (Princeton Univ Press, Princeton, NJ).
- 19. Koenig WD, Mumme RL (1987) Population Ecology of the Cooperatively Breeding Acorn Woodpecker (Princeton Univ Press, Princeton, NJ).
- Creel SR, Waser PM (1992) Inclusive fitness and reproductive strategies in dwarf mongooses. Behav Ecol 5:339–348.
- Walters JR, Doerr PD, Carter JH (1992) Delayed dispersal and reproduction as a lifehistory tactic in cooperative breeders: Fitness calculations from red-cockaded woodpeckers. Am Nat 139:623–643.
- Dickinson JL, Koenig WD, Pitelka FA (1996) Fitness consequences of helping behavior in the western bluebird. *BehavEcol* 7:168–177.
- Nelson-Flower MJ, Wiley EM, Flower TP, Ridley AR (2018) Individual dispersal delays in a cooperative breeder: Ecological constraints, the benefits of philopatry and the social queue for dominance. J Anim Ecol 87:1227–1238.
- 24. Russell AF, Hatchwell BJ (2001) Experimental evidence for kin-biased helping in a cooperatively breeding vertebrate. *Proc Biol Sci* 268:2169–2174.
- Sharp SP, Hadfield J, Baker MB, Simeoni M, Hatchwell BJ (2008) Natal dispersal and recruitment in a social bird. Oikos 117:1371–1379.
- Leedale AE, Sharp SP, Simeoni M, Robinson EJH, Hatchwell BJ (2018) Fine-scale genetic structure and helping decisions in a cooperatively breeding bird. *Mol Ecol* 27: 1714–1726.
- Sharp SP, Simeoni M, Hatchwell BJ (2008) Dispersal of sibling coalitions promotes helping among immigrants in a cooperatively breeding bird. Proc Biol Sci 275:2125–2130.
- Beckerman AP, Sharp SP, Hatchwell BJ (2011) Predation, demography and the emergence of kin structured populations: Implications for the evolution of cooperation. *Behav Ecol* 22:1294–1303.
- Gullett P, Evans KL, Robinson RA, Hatchwell BJ (2014) Climate change and annual survival in a temperate passerine: Partitioning seasonal effects and predicting future patterns. *Oikos* 123:389–400.

associations between maternal dispersal distance and (*i*) initial clutch size (n = 21 broods), (*ii*) number of fledglings produced (n = 25 broods), and (*iii*) proportion of fledglings successfully recruiting (n = 25 broods) by using mixed-effects models (*SI Appendix*, section 3).

In all analyses, coefficients and SEs for each predictor were taken from the full model, whereas test statistics [χ^2 values for GLM and generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) and log-likelihood (*L*) ratios for linear mixed-effects model (LMM)] and *P* values were calculated by comparing the full model vs. a second model without the predictor, having first removed any nonsignificant interaction terms. All analyses were two-tailed, and effects were considered to be statistically significant at P < 0.05.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Sonya Clegg, Josh Firth, Asher Leeks, Jen Perry, and Jon Slate for advice and comments on the manuscript. The study was funded by Natural Environment Research Council Research Grant NE/I027118/1 (to B.J.H.).

- MacColl ADC, Hatchwell BJ (2004) Determinants of lifetime fitness in a cooperative breeder, the long-tailed tit Aegithalos caudatus. J AnimEcol 73:1137–1148.
- Hatchwell BJ, et al. (2004) Helpers increase long-term but not short-term productivity in cooperatively breeding long-tailed tits. *BehavEcol* 15:1–10.
- Sharp SP, Simeoni M, McGowan A, Nam KB, Hatchwell BJ (2011) Patterns of recruitment, relatedness and cooperative breeding in two populations of long-tailed tits. *Anim Behav* 81:843–849.
- Meade J, Nam KB, Beckerman AP, Hatchwell BJ (2010) Consequences of 'load-lightening' for future indirect fitness gains by helpers in a cooperatively breeding bird. J Anim Ecol 79:529–537.
- Curry RL (1988) Influence of kinship on helping behavior in Galapagos mockingbirds. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 22:141–152.
- Emlen ST, Wrege PH (1988) The role of kinship in helping decisions among whitefronted bee-eaters. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 23:305–315.
- Hansson B, Bensch S, Hasselquist D (2004) Lifetime fitness of short- and long-distance dispersing great reed warblers. *Evolution* 58:2546–2557.
- Pärn H, Jensen H, Ringsby TH, Saether BE (2009) Sex-specific fitness correlates of dispersal in a house sparrow metapopulation. J Anim Ecol 78:1216–1225.
- Serrano D, Tella JL (2012) Lifetime fitness correlates of natal dispersal distance in a colonial bird. J Anim Ecol 81:97–107.
- Nam KB, Meade J, Hatchwell BJ (2011) Brood sex ratio variation in a cooperatively breeding bird. J Evol Biol 24:904–913.
- Hatchwell BJ, Fowlie MK, Ross DJ, Russell AF (1999) Incubation behaviour of longtailed tits: Why do males provision incubating females? Condor 101:681–686.
- Canestrari D, Marcos JM, Baglione V (2007) Costs of chick provisioning in cooperatively breeding crows: An experimental study. *Anim Behav* 73:349–357.
- Hodge SJ (2007) Counting the costs: The evolution of male-biased care in the cooperatively breeding banded mongoose. Anim Behav 74:911–919.
- 43. van de Crommenacker J, Komdeur J, Richardson DS (2011) Assessing the cost of helping: The roles of body condition and oxidative balance in the Seychelles warbler (Acrocephalus sechellensis). PLoS One 6:e26423.
- Drobniak SM, Wagner G, Mourocq E, Griesser M (2015) Family living: An overlooked but pivotal social system to understand the evolution of cooperative breeding. *Behav Ecol* 26:805–811.
- Napper CJ, Sharp SP, McGowan A, Simeoni M, Hatchwell BJ (2013) Dominance, not kinship, determines individual position within the communal roosts of a cooperatively breeding bird. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 67:2029–2039.
- Rubenstein DR (2011) Spatiotemporal environmental variation, risk aversion, and the evolution of cooperative breeding as a bet-hedging strategy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:10816–10822.
- McGowan A, Hatchwell BJ, Woodburn RJW (2003) The effect of helping behaviour on the survival of juvenile and adult long-tailed tits *Aegithalos caudatus*. J Anim Ecol 72: 491–499.
- Adams MJ, Robinson MR, Mannarelli M-E, Hatchwell BJ (2015) Social genetic and social environment effects on parental and helper care in a cooperatively breeding bird. Proc Biol Sci 282:20150689.
- R Core Development Team (2015) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna), version 3.2.3.
- Queller DC, Goodnight KF (1989) Estimating relatedness using genetic markers. Evolution 43:258–275.
- Konovalov DA, Manning C, Henshaw MT (2004) KINGROUP: A program for pedigree relationship reconstruction and kin group assignments using genetic markers. *Mol Ecol Notes* 4:779–782.
- Hatchwell BJ, Ross DJ, Châline N, Fowlie MK, Burke T (2002) Parentage in the cooperative breeding system of long-tailed tits, *Aegithalos caudatus. Anim Behav* 64: 55–63.
- Marshall TC, Slate J, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM (1998) Statistical confidence for likelihood-based paternity inference in natural populations. *Mol Ecol* 7:639–655.
- Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC (2007) Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Mol Ecol* 16:1099–1106.
- Morrissey MB, Goudie IBJ (2016) Analytical results for directional and quadratic selection gradients for log-linear models of fitness functions. bioRxiv:10.1101/040618.